



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/632,318	08/04/2000	Terry Warren	72189/98103A	1696	
33356	7590 06/06/2003				
SOCAL IP LAW GROUP			EXAMINER		
310 N. WESTLAKE BLVD. STE 120 WESTLAKE VILLAGE, CA 91362			CHANNAVAJJAL	CHANNAVAJJALA, SRIRAMA T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2177	1.1	
			DATE MAILED: 06/06/2003	1.7	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			PRI			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-			
Advisory Action	09/632,318	WARREN, TERRY				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Srirama Channavajjala	2177				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addi	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 28 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 1706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	ee MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more parned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action; or (ension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	s Brief must be filed within the p R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	period set forth in of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application is issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	implifying the			
(d) \square they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ns.			
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	· · · ———					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Sec	reconsideration has been cons e Continuation Sheet	sidered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	re newly			
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disapp	proved by the Exam	iner.			
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
0. Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>						
	•					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claims 1-42 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Ptent No. 6253216 in view of US Patent No. 6026368.

Continuation of 10. Other: Examiner acknowleges applicant's Amendment after final office action, paper no. # 9, filed on 5/28/2003, also examiner noted under REMARKS especially page 16-17 "Request for Suspension", however, "Request for Suspension" is DENIED. see MPEP Chapter 700, 37 CFR 1.103.

The reasons(s) are as follows:

- 1. Present application 09/632,318 is under after final status, while 09/628,971 no action has been issued or no out-standing office action.
- 2. As clearly stated in the previous office action, [based on Applicant provided reasons, paper no. # 6 and paper no. # 9] paper no. # 7, PTO -90C, An applicant for Patent may file and prosecute his or her own application or Assignee, and thus act as his or her own representative [Pro se or Assignee] before Office. See 37 CFR 1.31

Accordingly, the request for "SUSPENSION OF EXAMINATION" is DENIED.

JOHN BREENE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100